Thermally Driven Pure Spin and Valley Currents via the Anomalous Nernst Effect in Monolayer Group-VI Dichalcogenides

Xiao-Qin Yu,^{1,2} Zhen-Gang Zhu,^{1,3,*} Gang Su,^{3,†} and A.-P. Jauho^{4,‡} ¹School of Electronic, Electrical and Communication Engineering, University of Chinese Academy of Sciences,

Beijing 100049, China

²Sino-Danish Center for Education and Research, Beijing 100190, China

³Theoretical Condensed Matter Physics and Computational Materials Physics Laboratory, College of Physical Sciences,

University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

⁴Center for Nanostructured Graphene (CNG), DTU Nanotech, Department of Micro- and Nanotechnology,

Technical University of Denmark, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

(Received 4 June 2015; revised manuscript received 5 October 2015; published 8 December 2015)

The spin and valley-dependent anomalous Nernst effects are analyzed for monolayer MoS₂ and other group-VI dichalcogenides. We find that pure spin and valley currents can be generated perpendicular to the applied thermal gradient in the plane of these two-dimensional materials. This effect provides a versatile platform for applications of spin caloritronics. A spin current purity factor is introduced to quantify this effect. When time reversal symmetry is violated, e.g., two-dimensional materials on an insulating magnetic substrate, a dip-peak feature appears for the total Nernst coefficient. For the dip state it is found that carriers with only one spin and from one valley are driven by the temperature gradient.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.246601

PACS numbers: 72.20.Pa, 73.63.-b, 75.70.Tj, 75.76.+j

The generation of a spin current is a vital issue in spintronics [1–4] in which information is carried and stored by spin, rather than charge. A traditional approach to generate a spin current is to drive a spin polarized charge current through a spin filter [1,2,5,6], where a ferromagnet is used as the source of spin. However, the net charge current may lead to dissipation in the device, and the introduction of a ferromagnet-semiconductor interface complicates the applications. To eliminate the dissipation and complexity, pure spin current generation in semiconductors without a net charge current has been recently explored. The spin Hall effect [4,7-10] has been proposed in semiconductors with strong spin-orbit coupling (SOC), which describes the generation of a dissipationless spin current in the perpendicular direction (y direction) to an applied electric field (x direction) without breaking time-reversal symmetry. It can be attributed to a nonvanishing Berry curvature of energy bands in the presence of such an external electric field.

Recently, a parallel research field to spintronics was introduced: spin caloritronics [11-16], which is an extension and combination of spintronics and conventional thermoelectrics, aiming at increasing the efficiency and versatility of spin-involved thermoelectric devices [17]. So far, the spin Seebeck effect [18-21] has been suggested to be a way for spin current generation as a consequence of a temperature gradient. Usually a net charge current is still present in loop circuit use.

Monolayer MoS₂ and other transition-metal dichalcogenides (TMDCs) represent a new class of two-dimensional (2D) materials, intrinsically behaving as semiconductors. Analogous to gapped graphene [22,23], their Berry curvature in each Dirac cone is nonvanishing and leads to a series of anomalous transport phenomena, such as the anomalous Hall effect [24], valley Hall effect, anomalous Nernst effect (ANE), and valley ANE. However, unlike graphene, inversion symmetry is broken in TMDCs and they exhibit strong SOCs, which lead to coupling of the spin and valley degrees of freedom. Xiao et al. have studied the anomalous Hall effect and the related spin and valley Hall effects [25]. Mak et al. have observed a valley Hall effect in MoS₂ transistors [26]. The strong SOC offers a great chance for spintronics and spin caloritronics. Recently, Fang et al. [27] found an extrinsic mechanism via the spin Seebeck effect to produce a pure spin current through the temperature gradient in the ferromagnetic-nonmagnetic hybrid metallic system. Here, we propose a new intrinsic mechanism to generate a pure spin current via the spin Nernst effect (SNE) driven by a temperature gradient in the monolayer of MoS_2 and other TMDCs. Since transistors based on MoS₂ have been fabricated and they have a high quality of performance, the proposed effect is highly applicable in reality. In addition, the pure spin current persists for a wide range of gate voltage (for example, 0.42 eV for WS₂). We believe that the proposed effect is very useful in spin caloritronics.

The effective Hamiltonian of MoS₂ around Dirac cones is [25]

$$\hat{H} = at(\tau k_x \hat{\sigma}_x + k_y \hat{\sigma}_y) + \frac{\Delta}{2} \hat{\sigma}_z - \lambda \tau \frac{\hat{\sigma}_z - 1}{2} \hat{s}_z, \quad (1)$$

where $\tau = \pm 1$ is the valley index, 2λ refers to the spin splitting at the top of valence band caused by the SOC, $\hat{\sigma}$ denotes the Pauli matrices for the two basis functions of the

energy bands, *a* is the lattice constant, *t* is the hopping integral, Δ is the energy gap, and \hat{s} represent Pauli matrices for spin. The energy eigenvalues are

$$E_{n\tau s_z} = s_z \frac{\lambda \tau}{2} + n \sqrt{(kat)^2 + \left(\frac{\Delta - s_z \lambda \tau}{2}\right)^2}, \qquad (2)$$

where $s_z(=\pm 1)$ indicates the spin index, and $n(=\pm 1)$ is the band index. The Berry curvature [24,28–30] is determined by $\Omega_{n\tau s_z}(\mathbf{k}) = \hat{z} \cdot \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} \times \langle \mu_{n\tau s_z} | i \nabla_{\mathbf{k}} | \mu_{n\tau s_z} \rangle$ for 2D materials, where $\nabla_{\mathbf{k}}$ means directional derivatives with respect to the momentum \mathbf{k} , and $\mu_{n\tau s_z}$ is the periodic part of the Bloch function. For massive Dirac fermions described by the effective Hamiltonian in Eq. (1), the Berry curvature is

$$\Omega_{n\tau s_z}(\mathbf{k}) = -\tau n \frac{2a^2 t^2 \Delta'}{[(\Delta')^2 + 4(kat)^2]^{(3/2)}},$$
 (3)

where $\Delta' = \Delta - s_z \lambda \tau$.

When a temperature gradient is applied, an electric field develops in the opposite direction due to the Seebeck effect. Besides the parallel effect, in the presence of the temperature gradient, the holes (or electrons) experience a Lorentz-like force and thus move in the direction perpendicular to the diffusion current, which is the ANE induced by the intrinsic nonvanishing Berry curvature [31]. This is also the intrinsic mechanism contributing to the anomalous Hall resistivity [24,29]. Thus, the velocity multiplied by the entropy density gives rise to the anomalous Nernst coefficient (ANC) [32–35] (details can be found in Ref. [36]) in each Dirac cone with a specified spin

$$\alpha_{\tau s_z}^{c(v)} = 4\pi\alpha_0 \int \frac{d^2\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^2} \Omega_{n\tau s_z}(\mathbf{k}) S_{n\tau s_z}(\mathbf{k}), \qquad (4)$$

where c(v) represents the conduction (valence) band, corresponding to n = 1(-1), respectively. $\alpha_0 = (ek_B/2h)$, *e* is the electron charge, *h* is the Planck constant, $S_{n\tau s_{\tau}}(\mathbf{k}) =$ $-f_{n\tau s_{z}\mathbf{k}}\ln f_{n\tau s_{z}\mathbf{k}} - (1 - f_{n\tau s_{z}\mathbf{k}})\ln (1 - f_{n\tau s_{z}\mathbf{k}}) (k_{B}$ has been absorbed in α_0) is the entropy density for valley τ , spin s_{τ} , and *n* band, k_B is the Boltzmann constant, and $f_{n\tau s,\mathbf{k}}$ is the Fermi distribution function. The entropy density develops a peak at $E = E_f$, and is essentially zero when the energy is beyond the range of $[E_f - 5k_BT, E_f + 5k_BT]$. The integration is performed over the neighborhood of one K(-K)point in the momentum space. $\Omega_{n\tau s_z}(\mathbf{k})$ and $S_{n\tau s_z}(\mathbf{k})$ can be expressed as functions of the modulus of the wave vector **k**, and we can use the Debye model, namely, the integration is taken in a circular region centered at K(-K) point and the area is equal to the half of the first Brillouin zone for K(-K) cone. Thus, Eq. (4) can be written as

$$\alpha_{\tau s_z}^{c(v)} = 2\alpha_0 \int_0^{k_c} \Omega_{n\tau s_z}(k) S_{n\tau s_z}(k) k dk,$$
 (5)

where $k_c = (2\sqrt{\pi}/3^{3/4}a)$. The ANC for the τ valley is then

$$\alpha_{n\tau}^{\text{valley}} = 2\alpha_0 \int_0^{k_c} [\Omega_{n\tau,\uparrow}(k) S_{n\tau,\uparrow}(k) + \Omega_{n\tau,\downarrow}(k) S_{n\tau,\downarrow}(k)] k dk.$$
(6)

The spin Nernst coefficient (SNC) reads

$$\alpha_{n\tau}^{\rm spin} = 2\alpha_0^s \int_0^{k_c} [\Omega_{n\tau,\uparrow}(k) S_{n\tau,\uparrow}(k) - \Omega_{n\tau,\downarrow}(k) S_{n\tau,\downarrow}(k)] k dk,$$
(7)

where $\alpha_0^s = (\alpha_0 \hbar / 2e) = (k_B / 8\pi)$.

The magnitude of the ANE is determined by the ANC. In the following, we first investigate the properties of the ANC for the valley K for a freestanding layer, followed by a discussion where a magnetic substrate is considered. Based on the material parameters (Table I) [25], the ANC was numerically calculated for the spin Nernst effect and valley Nernst effect. Figure 1(a) displays the ANC for each cone and each spin state. The ANCs for the two spin states in a given valley have the same sign but they are shifted in opposite directions in the energy axis due to the SOC in the valence band $(E_f < 0)$. Since the state of $-K\downarrow(\uparrow)$ is degenerate to $K\uparrow(\downarrow)$, the corresponding ANCs have the same magnitude but opposite signs. This gives rise to the striking effect that a pure spin current and valley current can be generated when the Fermi level is lying in the valence band. According to the spin splitting determined by the energy gap and the SOC of the material, this nearly 100% spin current can be generated in a sizable range of energies (Table I). For example, for MoS_2 , this energy range is around 0.11 eV. This region is larger for other TMDCs, e.g., WS₂. The pure spin current and valley current generation in this case are schematically shown in Fig. 1(c). With a further lowering of the Fermi level, the purity of the spin and valley current is reduced. To characterize the extent of such mixing, we define a spin current purity factor (SCPF)

TABLE I. Parameters for TMDCs. The energy unit is eV for Δ and λ . The last two columns indicate the range of gate voltages $\Delta E_{\text{gate}} = E_{f1} - E_{f2}$, where E_{f1} and E_{f2} define the upper and lower limit in which spin current purity $\eta > 90\%$ and $\eta > 98\%$ can be generated, respectively.

	Δ	2λ	$\Delta E_{\text{gate}} \ (\eta > 90\%)$	$\Delta E_{\text{gate}} \ (\eta > 98\%)$
MoS ₂	1.66	0.15	0.195 eV	0.119 eV
$MoSe_2$	1.47	0.18	0.232 eV	0.177 eV
WS_2	1.79	0.43	0.472 eV	0.421 eV
WSe ₂	1.60	0.46	0.502 eV	0.454 eV



FIG. 1 (color online). (a) Illustration of the anomalous Nernst coefficient as a function of the Fermi energy for different spin states in each Dirac cone. The vertical dotted lines indicate the positions of the maxima of the valence bands with corresponding spin states and Dirac cones. (b) Spin current purity corresponding to the curves shown in (a). (c) A pure spin current and valley current can be generated when lowering the Fermi level into the bands of $K\uparrow$ ($-K\downarrow$). (d) Schematic illustration for the corresponding mixed spin (valley) current when further lowering the Fermi level into $K\downarrow$ ($-K\uparrow$). The 2D material is chosen as MoS₂ and the temperature is T = 300 K.

$$\eta = \frac{(\alpha_{K\uparrow}^{\mathrm{v}} + \alpha_{-K\uparrow}^{\mathrm{v}}) - (\alpha_{K\downarrow}^{\mathrm{v}} + \alpha_{-K\downarrow}^{\mathrm{v}})}{|\alpha_{K\uparrow}^{\mathrm{v}}| + |\alpha_{-K\uparrow}^{\mathrm{v}}| + |\alpha_{K\downarrow}^{\mathrm{v}}| + |\alpha_{-K\downarrow}^{\mathrm{v}}|}, \qquad (8)$$

where $\alpha_{K\uparrow(\downarrow)}^{v}$ is determined by Eq. (4) for the *K* cone in the valence band with an up (down) spin. When $\eta = \pm 1$, a pure spin current is generated in the *y* direction driven by a temperature gradient in the *x* direction. Otherwise, there will be mixing from different valleys and spin states. The variation of η for MoS₂ is shown in Fig. 1(b) and a schematic graph of the mixed spin and valley current is given in Fig. 1(d). For MoS₂ the η factor becomes ill defined for the Fermi level approximately above -0.5 eV ($E_f > -0.5 \text{ eV}$), because the ANCs become negligibly small [see Fig. 1(a)], and in the subsequent discussion we do not consider these energy regimes.

Figure 2 shows the SCPF for different materials and temperatures. An almost 100% spin current can be generated in a wider range of gate voltage for materials with a larger spin splitting. For example, in the energy scale from -0.6 eV to -1.0 eV for WS₂ (about 0.4 eV, which is quite large), the SCPF is almost 100%. At lower temperatures the SCPF is enhanced [Fig. 2(b)] as the thermal activation is suppressed. Another feature is that the SCPF changes its



FIG. 2 (color online). (a) The spin current purity factor η for the materials of Table I at T = 300 K. (b) The temperature dependence of η for MoS₂.

sign when the Fermi level is shifted sufficiently deep in the valence band, which means the generated spin current is reversed. In the deep valence band case, the tungsten-based materials have larger $|\eta|$ (but negative, and can be as large as -0.3) because of their stronger SOC.

The valley ANC is a sum of the two spin components and the SNC is a difference between them. Therefore, a two-peak feature of the valley ANC [Fig. 3(a)] and a dippeak feature of the SNC of the valence band [Fig. 3(c)] can be observed due to the energy shifts of the two spin states. The SNC undergoes a sign change when lowering the Fermi level sufficiently. The value of the valley ANC (SNC) for MoS₂ can reach $0.14\alpha_0$ ($0.07\alpha_0$) at room temperature, which is comparable to the valley ANC in graphene [34]. The difference in behavior between MoS₂ and graphene is that the Nernst coefficients are no longer spin degenerate, leading to a large SNC for MoS₂. Nonzero SNC reflects that there is a spin imbalance at the opposite edges of the sample (open-circuit case), which could be a



FIG. 3 (color online). The ANCs [(a), (b)] and SNC [(c), (d)] for the *K* cone are calculated as a function of the Fermi energy for different materials and temperatures, respectively. The temperature in (a) and (c) is taken at 300 K. MoS₂ is fixed in (b) and (d).



FIG. 4 (color online). A proposed H-shaped detector for detecting the spin Nernst effect in TMDCs. The spin current, generated in the right leg by a temperature gradient, is injected into the left leg through a horizontal bridge, which can be converted into a detectable charge voltage drop $\Delta V_{\rm ISHE}$ by the inverse spin Hall effect.

source of spin injection and spin current generation (loop circuit case) in future applications of spin caloritronics.

The valley ANCs are strongly affected by the metal elements in the TMDCs, as shown in Fig. 3(a). When the Fermi level lies in the valence band, the two-peak feature becomes more distinct when the SOC is increased, as one moves through MoS₂, MoSe₂, WS₂ to WSe₂. The two peaks in the valence band can be explained by the spin splitting and the strong SOC. For instance, the energy difference between the two peaks of WSe_2 (WS₂) [Fig. 3(a)] is 0.454 eV (0.43 eV), compatible to the spin splitting of the valence band 0.46 eV (0.45 eV). Thus, measuring the separation of the two peaks provides a method to estimate the effect of the spin splitting and the SOC. The two-peak feature of the valley ANC becomes more distinct at low temperature since the peak of the entropy density around the Fermi level is sharper [Fig. 3(b)]. Raising the temperature enhances the magnitude of the peak of the SNC but does not affect the positions [Fig. 3(c)].

To make an estimation, $\alpha_0 \approx 1.665 \text{ nA K}^{-1}$. Thus, $\alpha_n^{\text{spin}} \approx 0.08 \times 2\alpha_0(\hbar/2e)$ [Fig. 3(d), 2 for valley degeneracy] for MoS_2 at room temperature corresponds to 0.54 nA charge current for $\Delta T = 2$ K, which should be detectable. Specifically, to measure the SNE, we propose an H-shaped detector [41] that is made of TMDCs (see Fig. 4). A temperature drop ΔT in x direction is introduced in the right leg to generate spin current via the SNE: $J^s = \alpha_n^{\text{spin}}(-\partial_x T)\mathbf{e}_y$. The spin current is injected into the left leg through a bridge that is supposed to be short (in the ballistic regime) and narrow. Because of strong SOC in TMDCs, the electric field [42] $E_{\text{ISHE}} = \rho \theta_{\text{SHE}} (2e/\hbar) J^s \times \hat{s}$ will be generated perpendicular to the spin direction \hat{s} (z direction here) via the inverse spin Hall effect (ISHE), resulting in a charge voltage drop (in open-circuit case) $\Delta V_{\rm ISHE} = -(\sigma_{\rm SH}/\sigma^2)(2e/\hbar)\alpha_n^{\rm spin}\Delta T$, where $\theta_{\rm SHE} = \sigma_{\rm SH}/\sigma$ is the spin Hall angle, σ_{SH} is the spin Hall conductivity, and σ is the conductivity estimated by $n_c \mu e$. Mobility μ of MoS₂ is quite different in experiments. The early reported mobilities [35,43] range from 0.5 to $3 \text{ cm}^2 \text{V}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}$ for a



FIG. 5 (color online). The total ANC as a function of the Fermi energy for different materials at 300 K with the magnetization of magnet $M_z = 6$ T.

MoS₂ transistor. It has recently been raised to 200 cm² V⁻¹ s⁻¹ in a MoS₂ transistor with a hafnium oxide gate [44]. Although MoS₂ *p*-type transistors have been fabricated successfully [45], there is still a lack of experimental data for σ . We use $\mu = 400$ cm² v⁻¹ s⁻¹ and carrier density $n_c = 10^{11}$ cm⁻² from Ref. [46]. The $\sigma_{\rm SH} = -1.16\pi \times 10^{-2} (e^2/h)$ [47]. Therefore, $\sigma \approx 16.544 \times 10^{-2} (e^2/h)$. Thus $|\Delta V_{\rm ISHE}| \approx 18.32 \,\mu \rm V$ at room temperature for MoS₂ with $\Delta T = 2\rm K$, which should be measurable [18]. Lower σ (low μ and n_c) of the left leg leads to a larger voltage drop. The voltage drop is even larger for other TMDCs with stronger SOC.

Moreover, because of the time-reversal symmetry, no net ANE is produced. It is instructive to consider a situation where the MoS_2 layer is placed on a magnetic insulating substrate with a perpendicular moment to resolve the more intrinsic information of the spin and valley Nernst effect. An insulating ferromagnetic yttrium iron garnet $(YIG = Y_3Fe_5O_{12})$ film could serve as such a substrate, in which an inplane [48] or a perpendicular magnetization [49] can be realized experimentally. A large magnetic moment may be induced by a weak magnetic field so that the Landau level structure may be ignored. The Zeeman term, i.e., $-\frac{1}{2}g\mu_B M_z s_z$, should be added to Eq. (1). It has no impact on the eigenfunctions and the Berry curvature. Nonetheless it gives rise to corrections to the eigenvalues, resulting in an asymmetry of the valence bands in different valleys.

In this circumstance, the time-reversal symmetry is broken so that the total ANC is nonvanishing, shown in Fig. 5. The typical feature is the dip-peak profile of the total ANC. At the *K* point the down (up) spin band is shifted upward (downward); while in the -K valley, the up (down) spin band is shifted upward (downward). The dips are dominated by $-K\downarrow$ states and the contribution from the $K\uparrow$ state is vanishing. The measured current originates thus from a single valley with a single spin component. For the peak of the total ANC, the contribution from the *K* valley dominates. Therefore, with lowering the Fermi level, a single spin current (down spin) carrying single valley information can be generated by a temperature gradient, which hints at possible applications in spin caloritronics and valleytronics.

This work is supported by Hundred Talents Program of The Chinese Academy of Sciences. G. S. is supported in part by the MOST (Grants No. 2012CB932900, No. 2013CB933401), the NSFC (Grant No. 11474279) and the CAS (Grant No. XDB07010100). The Center for Nanostructured Graphene (CNG) is sponsored by the Danish National Research Foundation, Project No. DNRF58.

*zgzhu@ucas.ac.cn †gsu@ucas.ac.cn

^{*}Antti-Pekka.Jauho@nanotech.dtu.dk [1] S. A. Wolf *et al.*, Science **294**, 1488 (2001).

- [2] I. Žutić, J. Fabian, and S. Das Sarma, Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 323 (2004).
- [3] A. Fert, Rev. Mod. Phys. 80, 1517 (2008).
- [4] D. D. Awschalom and N. Samarth, Physics 2, 50 (2009).
- [5] A. Aharony, O. Entin-Wohlman, Y. Tokura, and S. Katsumoto, Phys. Rev. B 78, 125328 (2008).
- [6] Z.-G. Zhu, Phys. Lett. A 372, 695 (2008).
- [7] J.E. Hirsch, Phys. Rev. Lett. 83, 1834 (1999).
- [8] S. Murakami et al., Science 301, 1348 (2003).
- [9] N. A. Sinitsyn, E. Hankiewicz, W. Teizer, and J. Sinova, Phys. Rev. B 70, 081312 (2004).
- [10] Y. K. Kato et al., Science 306, 1910 (2004).
- [11] G. E. W. Bauer, E. Saitoh, and B. J. van Wees, Nat. Mater. 11, 391 (2012).
- [12] A. D. Avery, M. R. Pufall, and B. L. Zink, Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 196602 (2012).
- [13] S. Y. Huang, W. G. Wang, S. F. Lee, J. Kwo, and C. L. Chien, Phys. Rev. Lett. **107**, 216604 (2011).
- [14] K. Uchida, S. Takahashi, J. Ieda, K. Harii, K. Ikeda, W. Koshibae, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, J. Appl. Phys. 105, 07C908 (2009).
- [15] J. Xiao, G. E. W. Bauer, K.-c. Uchida, E. Saitoh, and S. Maekawa, Phys. Rev. B 81, 214418 (2010).
- [16] H. Adachi, J.-i. Ohe, S. Takahashi, and S. Maekawa, Phys. Rev. B 83, 094410 (2011).
- [17] S. T. B. Goennenwein and G. E. W. Bauer, Nat. Nanotechnol. 7, 145 (2012).
- [18] K. Uchida, S. Takahashi, K. Harii, J. Ieda, W. Koshibae, K. Ando, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, Nature (London) 455, 778 (2008).
- [19] K. Uchida et al., Nat. Mater. 9, 894 (2010).
- [20] K. i. Uchida, H. Adachi, T. Ota, H. Nakayama, S. Maekawa, and E. Saitoh, Appl. Phys. Lett. 97, 172505 (2010).
- [21] C. M. Jaworski, J. Yang, S. Mack, D. D. Awschalom, J. P. Heremans, and R. C. Myers, Nat. Mater. 9, 898 (2010).
- [22] K. S. Novoselov et al., Science 306, 666 (2004).

- [23] K. S. Novoselov, A. K. Geim, S. V. Morozov, D. Jiang, M. I. Katsnelson, I. V. Grigorieva, S. V. Dubonos, and A. A. Firsov, Nature (London) 438, 197 (2005).
- [24] N. Nagaosa, J. Sinova, S. Onoda, A. H. MacDonald, and N. P. Ong, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 1539 (2010).
- [25] D. Xiao, G.-B. Liu, W. Feng, X. Xu, and W. Yao, Phys. Rev. Lett. 108, 196802 (2012).
- [26] K. F. Mak, K. L. McGill, J. Park, and P. L. McEuen, Science 344, 1489 (2014).
- [27] C. Fang et al., arXiv:1505.07662.
- [28] D. Xiao, Y. Yao, Z. Fang, and Q. Niu, Phys. Rev. Lett. 97, 026603 (2006).
- [29] D. Xiao, M.-C. Chang, and Q. Niu, Rev. Mod. Phys. 82, 1959 (2010).
- [30] Z.-G. Zhu and J. Berakdar, Phys. Rev. B 84, 195460 (2011).
- [31] The usual Nernst effect can be referred to in J. M. Ziman, *Electrons and Phonons: The Theory of Transport Phenomena in Solids* (Oxford University Press, New York, 1960).
- [32] C. Zhang, S. Tewari, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B 79, 245424 (2009).
- [33] C. Zhang, S. Tewari, V. M. Yakovenko, and S. Das Sarma, Phys. Rev. B 78, 174508 (2008).
- [34] Z.-G. Zhu and J. Berakdar, New J. Phys. 15, 073028 (2013).
- [35] Q. H. Wang, K. Kalantar-Zadeh, A. Kis, J. N. Coleman, and M. S. Strano, Nat. Nanotechnol. 7, 699 (2012).
- [36] See Supplemental Material at http://link.aps.org/ supplemental/10.1103/PhysRevLett.115.246601 for the derivation of equations in the main text and some issues about the H-shaped detector, which includes Refs. [37–40].
- [37] H. B. Callen, *Thermodynamics and an Introduction to Thermostatistics* (Wiley, New York, 1960).
- [38] S. R. Groot and P. Mazur, *Non-equilibrium Thermodynamics* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1962).
- [39] L. Gravier, S. Serrano-Guisan, F. Reuse, and J.-P. Ansermet, Phys. Rev. B 73, 024419 (2006).
- [40] M. Buscema, M. Barkelid, V. Zwiller, H. S. J. van der Zant, G. A. Steele, and A. Castellanos-Gomez, Nano Lett. 13, 358 (2013).
- [41] A similar H-shaped detector has been used to detect the spin Hall effect in experiment for HgTe/(Hg,Cd)Te quantum wells, see C. Brüne, A. Roth, E. G. Novik, M. König, H. Buhmann, E. M. Hankiewicz, W. Hanke, J. Sinova, and L. W. Molenkamp, Nat. Phys. 6, 448 (2010).
- [42] K. Ando and E. Saitoh, Nat. Commun. 3, 629 (2012).
- [43] K. S. Novoselov, D. Jiang, F. Schedin, T. J. Booth, V. V. Khotkevich, S. V. Morozov, and A. K. Geim, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **102**, 10451 (2005).
- [44] B. Radisavljevic, A. Radenovic, J. Brivio, V. Giacometti, and A. Kis, Nat. Nanotechnol. 6, 147 (2011).
- [45] S. Chuang et al., Nano Lett. 14, 1337 (2014).
- [46] K. Kaasbjerg, K. S. Thygesen, and K. W. Jacobsen, Phys. Rev. B 85, 115317 (2012).
- [47] W. Feng, Y. Yao, W. Zhu, J. Zhou, W. Yao, and D. Xiao, Phys. Rev. B 86, 165108 (2012).
- [48] Y. M. Lu, Y. Choi, C. M. Ortega, X. M. Cheng, J. W. Cai, S. Y. Huang, L. Sun, and C. L. Chien, Phys. Rev. Lett. 110, 147207 (2013).
- [49] W. X. Xia, Y. S. Chun, S. Aizawa, K. Yanagisawa, K. M. Krishnan, D. Shindo, and A. Tonomura, J. Appl. Phys. 108, 123919 (2010).